



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

E I du Pont de Nemours & Company  
Legal Patents  
Wilmington DE 19898

**COPY MAILED**  
**JUN 05 2006**  
**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Bert C. Wong et al. :  
Application No. 10/501,491 : DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION  
Filed: July 13, 2004 : UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)  
Attorney Docket No. AD6843USPCT :  
Title: LOW-COLOR STIFF PVB :  
LAMINATES :

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed May 19, 2006, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action, mailed June 16, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No response was received, and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) were requested. Accordingly, the above-identified application became

---

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

abandoned on September 17, 2005. A notice of abandonment was mailed on January 18, 2006.


The original petition was submitted on February 6, 2006, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on May 5, 2006 for failure to submit the petition fee.

With the present Petitioner has provided authorization for the Office to charge the petition fee to his Deposit Account. With the original petition, Petitioner provided an amendment and the proper statement of unintentional delay. A terminal disclaimer is not required.

As each of the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) have been met, this renewed petition is **GRANTED**.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the amendment which was received with the original petition on February 6, 2006 can be processed.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225. All other inquiries concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

  
**Paul Shanowski**  
**Senior Attorney**  
**Office of Petitions**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**